Application Details

Application ID:	OOL2614T-T24226
Application Type:	New gTLD
Primary String:	agent
Application Priority Number:	--
Application Status:	Active
Processing Stage:	String Evaluation Processing
Comment Period:	17 November 2026 at 00:00 UTC to 16 March 2027 at 23:59 UTC

Applicant Details

Applicant Name:	OPENAI OPCO, LLC
Applicant Location:	US
Applicant Region:	NA
Applicant Website:	https://openai.com/

Application Labels

- Open Comment Period

Application Responses

Section: Applying Entity Information

This question set collects information regarding the legal entity that would enter into a Registry Agreement with ICANN upon successful completion of all relevant application processes. The information collected is intended to be used for background screening.

AGB Q1. Full Legal Name

Provide the full legal name of the applying entity as it appears on the official registration documents. Do not use abbreviations.

OPENAI OPCO, LLC

AGB Q3. Legal Entity Form/Business Structure

Provide the long form (no acronyms) of the legal entity form/business structure of the applying entity as it appears on the official registration documents. If the original script of the legal entity form/business structure is not English, ONLY provide its official English translation. No additional information should be provided as this will be used for the automatic population of the Registry Agreement.

Limited liability company

AGB Q4. Jurisdiction

The jurisdiction indicates the location in which the business of the applying entity is registered for legal and financial purposes. This is either 1) a country name, or a 2) state/territory name, depending on where the applying entity is registered. No additional information should be provided as this will be used for the automatic population of the Base Registry Agreement. Examples include "Delaware", "Germany", etc.

Delaware

AGB Q10. Website URL

Provide the website URL of the applying entity, if available.

https://openai.com/

AGB Q11. Is the applying entity an existing registry operator, ICANN accredited registrar, or an Affiliate of either?

1. Choose Yes or No.
2. Use the definition of Affiliate from the Base Registry Agreement (see https://www.icann.org/en/registry-agreements/base-agreement).

false

AGB Q13. Is the applying entity a back-end registry service provider (RSP), an ICANN-approved data escrow agent, an emergency back-end registry operator, a Uniform Rapid Suspension (URS) service provider, an ICANN dispute resolution service provider, a Privacy or Proxy Service Provider, or a Reseller?

Choose Yes or No.

false

AGB Q17. Phone Country Code

1

AGB Q18. Primary Business Phone

Provide the primary business phone number without including the country code.

6502627951

AGB Q19. Primary Business Email Address

Provide the primary business email address of the applying entity.

legal@openai.com

AGB Q20. Address Line 1

Enter the street address (no PO Box).

1455 3rd Street

AGB Q22. Locality

Enter the city, village, municipality, etc.

San Fransisco

AGB Q23. State/Province/Region

Enter the state, province, department, territory, prefecture, oblast, etc., if applicable.

California

AGB Q24. Postal Code

1. Enter the postal code, if applicable.
2. If a postal code does not exist, type "Not Applicable".

94158

AGB Q25. Country Code of Location

US

AGB Q36. Full Legal Name

If applicable, provide the full legal name as it appears on the official registration documents of the Ultimate Parent Company of the applying entity. Do not use abbreviations. "Ultimate Parent Company" means, with respect to an Applicant (and, if applicable, a Direct Parent Company), the top-level entity that directly or indirectly possesses the power to direct the management and policies of such Applicant (and, if applicable, a Direct Parent Company) through the ownership of voting securities, as a general partner, as a managing member, by contract, or otherwise. An Ultimate Parent Company is not controlled by any other entity. If there are no intermediary entities between the Applicant and the Ultimate Parent Company, the Ultimate Parent Company would be the same entity as the Direct Parent Company.

OpenAI Group PBC

AGB Q38. Legal Entity Form/Business Structure

Provide the long form (no acronyms) of the legal entity form/business structure of the Ultimate Parent Company as it appears on the official registration documents. If the original script of the legal entity form/business structure is not English, ONLY provide its official English translation.

public benefit corporation

AGB Q39. Jurisdiction

The jurisdiction indicates the location in which the business of the Ultimate Parent Company is registered for legal and financial purposes. This is either 1) a country name, or a 2) state/territory name, depending on where the Direct Parent Company is registered. Examples include "Delaware", "Germany", etc.

Deleware

Section: Applying Entity Background and Organization

This question set collects information related to the individuals who will have access to TAMS, manage the application, and receive inquiries.

AGB 105. List all officers & partners of the applying entity.

Sam Altman, Fidji Simo, Greg Brockman, Sarah Friar, Jason Kwon, Mark Chen, Che Chang , Robert Wu

AGB 106. List all Material Shareholders

OpenAi Group PBC

Section: Self-Certification

Provide a single document for Self-Certification question Q4.2-1.
The document must include only the SC4.2-1.1, SC4.2-1.2, or SC4.2-1.3 statements.
Do not modify any of the Self-Certification statements.

AGB Q212. Q4.2-1 - Provide the applying entity's self-certification document, signed by the CEO, President, CFO and/or equivalent officer of the applying entity. If financial statements are provided by a Qualified Parent Entity (QPE), the CEO, President, CFO, and/or equivalent officer of the QPE must co-sign the certification document. The self-certification document must represent and warrant: SC4.2-1.1 - The applying entity and/or a QPE will fund the startup and long-term operation of all applied-for gTLD strings and (if applicable) currently operated gTLDs of a QPE. SC4.2-1.2 - The applying entity or QPE has at a minimum of US$50,000 plus 25% of the application base fee for each applied-for gTLD string in Cash and Cash Equivalents on the balance sheet of the provided financial statements, up to a maximum of US$300,000, designated to support the startup and operation of all of the applying entity's applied-for gTLD strings. SC4.2-1.3 - The applying entity and/or its officers are bound by law in its jurisdiction to represent financial statements accurately and the applying entity is in good standing in that jurisdiction.

1. Provide a single document for Self-Certification question Q4.2-1.
2. The document must include only the SC4.2-1.1 through SC4.2-1.3 statements.
3. Do not modify any of the Self-Certification statements.
4. If the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC4.2-1.1 through SC4.2-1.3 statements, provide a document that explains why the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC4.2-1.1 through SC4.2-1.3 statements.

Has Documents

Section: Security Policy and Planning

Provide a single document for Self-Certification question AGB Q220, Q5.1-1.
The document must include only the SC5.1-1.1 through SC5.1-1.3 statements.
Do not modify any of the Self-Certification statements.
If the applicant cannot Self-Certify SC5.1-1.1 through SC5.1-1.3 statements, provide a document that explains why the entity cannot Self-Certify the SC5.1-1.1 through SC5.1-1.3 statements.

AGB Q220. Q5.1-1 - Provide the applying entity's self-certification document, signed by the CEO, President, CFO and/or equivalent officer of the applying entity. The self-certification document must represent and warrant:
SC5.1-1.1 - The applying entity will appropriately protect confidentiality of data and prevent unauthorized access to data and services.
SC5.1-1.2 - The applying entity will maintain a mature, appropriately funded and staffed security program, following a recognized, modern security framework based on risk management (such as the ISO27000 series, COBIT, HITRUST CSF, legally required security frameworks, or equivalent). The security program must be in place prior to delegation, and exist through at least the period of the registry agreement.

SC5.1-1.3 - The applying entity is aware of and has designed its systems and business to comply with the relevant privacy and security regulations for all countries in which it operates.

1. Provide a single document for Self-Certification question Q5.1-1.
2. The document must include only the SC5.1-1.1 through SC5.1-1.3 statements.
3. Do not modify any of the Self-Certification statements.
4. If the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC5.1-1.1 through SC5.1-1.3 statements, provide a document that explains why the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC5.1-1.1 through SC5.1.1-3 statements.

Has Documents

Section: DNS Abuse

Provide a single document for Self-Certification question AGB Q221, Q5.2-1.
The document must include only the SC5.2-1.1 through SC5.2-1.7 statements.
Do not modify any of the Self-Certification statements.
If the applicant cannot Self-Certify the SC5.2-1.1 through SC5.2-1.7 statements, provide a document that explains why the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC5.2-1.1 through SC5.2-1.7 statements.

AGB Q221. Q5.2-1 - Provide the applying entity's self-certification document, signed by the CEO, President, CFO and/or equivalent officer of the applying entity. The self-certification document must represent and warrant:
SC5.2-1.1 - The applying entity will, no later than delegation of the Top Level Domain (TLD), establish a dedicated abuse point of contact responsible for addressing matters requiring expedited attention and providing a timely response to abuse complaints concerning any name registered in the TLD.
SC5.2-1.2 - The applying entity will, no later than delegation of the TLD, establish, publish, and provide to ICANN the location of a mechanism for members of the public to submit reports of abuse in accordance with the current obligations of the Base RA and any Consensus Policies.
SC5.2-1.3 - The applying entity has developed proposed measures for removal of orphan glue records for names removed from the zone when provided with evidence in written form that the glue is present in connection with malicious conduct (see Specification 6).
SC5.2-1.4 - The applying entity has or will have at time of delegation, established policies for handling complaints regarding abuse. Such policies are to be maintained and posted publicly so that anyone can review the policies via the Internet and any other means deemed appropriate by the applying entity. The applying entity's policies at a minimum should contain appropriate confirmation of the receipt of the abuse report, the process of review of the report, and actions that will be taken if the applying entity confirms the report is legitimate.
SC5.2-1.5 - The applying entity understands that DNS Abuse is Phishing, Malware, Botnets, Pharming and Spam (when used to deliver other forms of DNS Abuse). The applying entity understands and is prepared to contribute to the mitigation or disruption of DNS Abuse in domains in the TLD zone.

SC5.2-1.6 - The applying entity's abuse response capabilities are resourced appropriately to ensure a timely and adequate investigation and response to reports of DNS Abuse. This includes capabilities to receive and evaluate evidence of DNS Abuse in reports, and to take action to stop or disrupt the DNS Abuse.
SC5.2-1.7 - The applying entity is prepared to conduct periodic scans of its zone to identify if domains are being used to perpetrate DNS Abuse, and to maintain statistical reports of the scans, the findings, and actions taken.

1. Provide a single document for Self-Certification question Q5.2-1.
2. The document must include only the SC5.2-1.1 through SC5.2-1.7 statements.
3. Do not modify any of the Self-Certification statements.
4. If the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC5.2-1.1 through SC5.2-1.7 statements, provide a document that explains why the applying entity cannot Self-Certify the SC5.2-1.1 through SC5.2-1.7 statements.

Has Documents

Section: Primary String

This question set collects basic information regarding the string that is being applied for (for example, a-label, meaning, script). If the applying entity opts to designate a replacement string, it must answer the same set of questions for the replacement string from the AGB Question Set 5 on.

AGB Q116. Applied-for Primary String (ASCII Label)

agent

AGB Q118. What is the meaning/definition of the applied-for gTLD string?

Provide the meaning, or restatement of the string in English, that is, a description of the literal meaning of the string in the opinion of the applying entity. If there is no literal meaning in English (for example, a brand name or a proper noun without a translation) simply state "No English Translation"

Agent is a person or system that acts on behalf of another to perform tasks, provide services, or achieve a goal.

AGB Q120. Phonetic Representation

Provide a representation of the string according to the International Phonetic Alphabet.

eɪdʒənt

AGB Q121. As per Section 3(d) of Specification 11 of the Base Registry Agreement, a registry operator of a "generic string" may not impose eligibility criteria for registering names in the TLD that limit registrations exclusively to a single person or entity and/or that person's or entity's "Affiliates" (as defined in Section 2.9(c) of the Registry Agreement). "Generic String" means a string consisting of a word or term that denominates or describes a general class of goods, services, groups, organizations or things, as opposed to distinguishing a specific brand of goods, services, groups, organizations or things from those of others. Confirm that the applied-for string is not a "generic string" in which the applying entity intends to limit registrations exclusively to a single person or entity.

Confirm the statement using a checkbox.

true

AGB Q133. What is the mission and purpose of the applied-for gTLD?

1. Describe the mission and purpose of the applied-for gTLD, including the intended registrants and users, and the related activities that have been or will be carried out to achieve this purpose.

1a. If applying for a variant of an existing gTLD, please also describe the mission and purpose of the existing gTLD, including the intended registrants and users, and the related activities that have been or will be carried out to achieve this purpose.

2. Explain how this purpose is sustainable over time.

Mission and Purpose
The .agent namespace offers a recognizable web address built around "agent," a term widely used for software that can act on a user's behalf, as well as in its broader everyday senses. A name on .agent gives a registrant a clear, memorable identity that reflects that meaning.
The purpose is to make available an open namespace that many kinds of registrants may find useful for an online presence. An assistant, a developer resource, a product page, or a company's chosen destination on .agent becomes a recognizable name.
For registrants whose work involves software agents, the namespace offers an identity that reflects what they do. For others, "agent" carries its own familiar meanings. In every case, the value of the namespace is the clarity and appeal of the term itself, available to any registrant who wants to make use of it.

Intended Registrants
.agent will operate on an open registration model, available to any registrant without eligibility restrictions and without conditions tied to any particular company, industry, technology, or use. Registrants are likely to include, but are in no way limited to, software developers and companies building AI agents, platform providers offering agent orchestration or hosting, enterprises deploying internal or customer-facing agents, and researchers and open-source communities working on agentic frameworks. Uses of .agent are similarly open and not limited to any specific model, platform, provider, or subject matter.

Related Activities Supporting the Purpose
Awareness-building among the developer and technology communities most likely to find the namespace useful
- Broad registrar distribution to make .agent names widely available
- Registrant education on the availability and use of .agent names
- Community outreach through developer and open-source ecosystems
- Responsible registry operations, including standard abuse mitigation and registration policies

Sustainability Over Time
.agent is durable because it is tied to a broadly used term rather than a single product or vendor. Interest in software agents is growing, and "agent" also carries long-established meaning in everyday language, so the term's appeal does not depend on any one company, technology, or trend. The namespace stays relevant regardless of which specific models, frameworks, or vendors lead at any given moment.
As interest in the term grows, so does the pool of registrants who may find a .agent name useful, which sustains demand for the namespace over time. OpenAI has the operational, technical, and financial capacity to run the registry over the long term, consistent with the commitments described elsewhere in this application, and will continue to adapt registrant services and policies as the space develops.

Section: Safeguard Identification

This question set collects information related to determining whether certain Safeguard Public Interest Commitments (Safeguard PICs) are required for the applied-for gTLD string. See Section 7.8.2.3 Safeguard PICs. Answers to these questions will inform assessment by ICANN on whether and which Safeguard PICs must be incorporated in the applicable Registry Agreement (RA) if the string proceeds to delegation. The answers themselves will not automatically make such a determination.

AGB Q164. Will people see a domain name as more trustworthy because it is registered in your TLD?
Think about how people around the world will understand the TLD string(s) in the application, including literal and informal meanings in different languages and regions.

1. When answering the questions, apply criteria by considering the meaning of the requested TLD string in the following contexts:
a. Literally as described in the application
b. Literally in any other language in which the string is a recognized word or phrase.
c. Informally in any language or regional variant, where alternative meanings exist.
2. If the proverbial "reasonable person" who understands the relevant context believes that the question should be answered 'yes', then the answer is yes.

No

AGB Q165. Is it likely that consumers will face significant risks if domain names in the TLD(s) in the application are abused?
Think about how people around the world will understand the TLD string(s) in the application, including literal and informal meanings in different languages and regions.

1. When answering the questions, apply criteria by considering the meaning of the requested TLD string in the following contexts:
a. Literally as described in the application
b. Literally in any other language in which the string is a recognized word or phrase.
c. Informally in any language or regional variant, where alternative meanings exist.
2. If the proverbial "reasonable person" who understands the relevant context believes that the question should be

answered 'yes', then the answer is yes.

No

AGB Q166. Would people generally think that this TLD will be used by entities that require strict licensing or accreditation to do business?
Think about how the TLD string(s) in the application will be understood around the world, including both literal and informal meanings in different languages and regions.

1. When answering the questions, apply criteria by considering the meaning of the requested TLD string in the following contexts:
a. Literally as described in the application
b. Literally in any other language in which the string is a recognized word or phrase.
c. Informally in any language or regional variant, where alternative meanings exist.
2. If the proverbial "reasonable person" who understands the relevant context believes that the question should be answered 'yes', then the answer is yes.

No

AGB Q167. Would most people think that (domains in) the TLD(s) in the application are used for activities that require regular government reporting, inspections, and oversight in various countries?
Think about how the TLD string(s) in the application will be understood around the world, including both literal and informal meanings in different languages and regions.

1. When answering the questions, apply criteria by considering the meaning of the requested TLD string in the following contexts:
a. Literally as described in the application
b. Literally in any other language in which the string is a recognized word or phrase.
c. Informally in any language or regional variant, where alternative meanings exist.
2. If the proverbial "reasonable person" who understands the relevant context believes that the question should be answered 'yes', then the answer is yes.

No

AGB Q168. Could people reasonably believe that (domains in) your TLD will cause or lead to harassment, harm, aggression, complaints, criticism, distress, or embarrassment?
Think about how the TLD string(s) in the application will be understood globally, including different languages and cultures.

1. When answering the questions, apply criteria by considering the meaning of the requested TLD string in the following contexts:
a. Literally as described in the application
b. Literally in any other language in which the string is a recognized word or phrase.
c. Informally in any language or regional variant, where alternative meanings exist.
I-2. If the proverbial "reasonable person" who understands the relevant context believes that the question should be answered 'yes', then the answer is yes.

No

AGB Q169. Would most people think that the TLD is used for something usually done by governments?
Think about how the TLD string(s) in the application will be understood globally, including different languages and cultures.

1. When answering the questions, apply criteria by considering the meaning of the requested TLD string in the following contexts:
a. Literally as described in the application
b. Literally in any other language in which the string is a recognized word or phrase.
c. Informally in any language or regional variant, where alternative meanings exist.
I-2. If the proverbial "reasonable person" who understands the relevant context believes that the question should be answered 'yes', then the answer is yes.

No

AGB Q170. Are you proposing to include one or more of the Safeguard Public Interest Commitments (Safeguard PICs) in the Registry Agreement (RA) voluntarily regardless of ICANN's Safeguard Assessment outcomes?

Select Yes or No.

Notes:
1. ICANN will evaluate whether an applied-for gTLD string requires one or more Safeguard Public Interest Commitments (Safeguard PICs) to be included in the Base RA).
2. In addition to the Mandatory Public Interest Commitments (PICs) that must be included in each Base RA, a subset of Base RAs must include Safeguard PICs based on ICANN's Safeguard Assessment. See Section 7.8.2.3 Safeguard PICs.
3. Applying entities for TLDs that are not found to require Safeguard PICs can elect to add them to the applicable Base RAs voluntarily to, for example, further their business objectives, help address issues or concerns that are raised or could be raised with respect to their applications, or avoid the need for the evaluation and implementation of customized Registry Voluntary Commitment (RVC). See Section 7.8.3 Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs).

No

Section: Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs)

This question set collects information related to any Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs) that the applying entity is submitting. The decision to submit an RVC is typically voluntary, except for those recognized by ICANN to resolve an objection or to address GAC Consensus Advice. See Section 7.8.3 Registry Voluntary Commitments for more information.

AGB Q172. Are you proposing to include one or more Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs) in the Registry Agreement (RA) that are unique to your applied-for string?

1. Select Yes or No.
2. In addition to Safeguard Public Interest Commitments (PICs), an applying entity will be permitted to propose one or more Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs) to provide additional safeguards with regard to the registry operator's operation of an applied-for gTLD string. See Section 7.8.3 Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs).
3. RVCs are separate from Community Registration Policies. See Section 7.8.3 Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs) and Section 7.8.4 Community Registration Policies for more information. If you are applying for a Community gTLD, please submit the Community Registration Policies by answering Questions 150-155. However, if you propose to include additional Registry Voluntary Commitments in the RA beyond the Community Registration Policies, you may answer "yes" and proceed to answer the following questions.
4. You are encouraged to consider whether there are other means, separate from including commitment(s) in the

Base RA, that could be used to further your business objectives or help resolve any anticipated or actual issue(s) raised regarding the applied-for gTLD string or application. See Section 7.8.3 Registry Voluntary Commitments (RVCs).

Notes:
If you select "yes" to this question, you are required to pay the conditional Registry Commitments Evaluation fee, and commitments that are approved by ICANN will be included in Specification 11 of the applicable Base RA as specific voluntary public interest commitments as contractual obligations.

No

Section: Brand & Code of Conduct Exemptions

This question set collects information related to whether the applied-for gTLD string is a .Brand (see Section 7.3) or if the applying entity is seeking a Code of Conduct exemption (see Section 7.4).

AGB Q179. Are you applying for a Brand TLD?

Select Yes or No

No

AGB Q185. Does the applying entity request a Code of Conduct Exemption?

This serves as an indication of intent to apply for an exemption to Specification 9 and that the applying entity is NOT requesting to be designated a .Brand TLD, pursuant to Specification 13.

No

Section: Additional Information and Supporting Materials

This question set collects any additional information that the applying entity would like to provide, including any supporting materials.

Section: Bona Fide Intent and Prohibited Communications

This question set contains attestations related to the applying entity's acknowledgment of bona fide intent and prohibited communications.

AGB Q223. By submitting this Application, the applying entity confirms that it is submitting this Application with a good faith ("bona fide") intent to operate the gTLD for which it has applied, and that the applying entity has read and understands the provisions of Section 5.2.3.1 Prohibited Communications and Activities of the Applicant Guidebook regarding the New gTLD Program rules prohibiting certain communications and activities to prevent parties from privately resolving string contention among themselves.

Confirm the statement using the checkbox.

true

AGB Q224. By submitting this Application, the applying entity confirms that it has read and understands the provisions of Section 5.2.3.1 Prohibited Communications and Activities of the Applicant Guidebook regarding the New gTLD Program rules prohibiting certain communications and activities to prevent parties from privately

resolving string contention among themselves.

Confirm the statement using the checkbox.

true

Objections

Objections for this Application have not yet been published. Information will be added when it becomes available. Please check back periodically for updates.

Response Documents

Section: Self-Certification

AGB Q212. Q4.2-1 - Provide the applying entity's self-certification document, signed by the CEO, President, CFO and/or equivalent officer of the applying entity. If financial statements are provided by a Qualified Parent Entity (QPE), the CEO, President, CFO, and/or equivalent officer of the QPE must co-sign the certification document. The self-certification document must represent and warrant: SC4.2-1.1 - The applying entity and/or a QPE will fund the startup and long-term operation of all applied-for gTLD strings and (if applicable) currently operated gTLDs of a QPE. SC4.2-1.2 - The applying entity or QPE has at a minimum of US$50,000 plus 25% of the application base fee for each applied-for gTLD string in Cash and Cash Equivalents on the balance sheet of the provided financial statements, up to a maximum of US$300,000, designated to support the startup and operation of all of the applying entity's applied-for gTLD strings. SC4.2-1.3 - The applying entity and/or its officers are bound by law in its jurisdiction to represent financial statements accurately and the applying entity is in good standing in that jurisdiction.

Document Name: Financial Self-Certification .docx, https://newgtldprogram-aps-documents.icann.org/Financial_a-0000efde-9a6a-8000-9c71-011c48011c48_1133661.pdf (pdf)
Document Category: Questionnaire Response
Document Provided: 09 August 2026

Section: Security Policy and Planning

AGB Q220. Q5.1-1 - Provide the applying entity's self-certification document, signed by the CEO, President, CFO and/or equivalent officer of the applying entity. The self-certification document must represent and warrant:
SC5.1-1.1 - The applying entity will appropriately protect confidentiality of data and

prevent unauthorized access to data and services.
SC5.1-1.2 - The applying entity will maintain a mature, appropriately funded and staffed security program, following a recognized, modern security framework based on risk management (such as the ISO27000 series, COBIT, HITRUST CSF, legally required security frameworks, or equivalent). The security program must be in place prior to delegation, and exist through at least the period of the registry agreement.
SC5.1-1.3 - The applying entity is aware of and has designed its systems and business to comply with the relevant privacy and security regulations for all countries in which it operates.

Document Name: OpenAI security and policy cert signed, https://newgtldprogram-aps-documents.icann.org/Financial_a-0000efde-9a6a-8000-9c71-011c48011c48_1133664.pdf (pdf)
Document Category: Questionnaire Response
Document Provided: 09 August 2026

Section: DNS Abuse

AGB Q221. Q5.2-1 - Provide the applying entity's self-certification document, signed by the CEO, President, CFO and/or equivalent officer of the applying entity. The self-certification document must represent and warrant:
SC5.2-1.1 - The applying entity will, no later than delegation of the Top Level Domain (TLD), establish a dedicated abuse point of contact responsible for addressing matters requiring expedited attention and providing a timely response to abuse complaints concerning any name registered in the TLD.
SC5.2-1.2 - The applying entity will, no later than delegation of the TLD, establish, publish, and provide to ICANN the location of a mechanism for members of the public to submit reports of abuse in accordance with the current obligations of the Base RA and any Consensus Policies.
SC5.2-1.3 - The applying entity has developed proposed measures for removal of orphan glue records for names removed from the zone when provided with evidence in written form that the glue is present in connection with malicious conduct (see Specification 6).
SC5.2-1.4 - The applying entity has or will have at time of delegation, established policies for handling complaints regarding abuse. Such policies are to be maintained and posted publicly so that anyone can review the policies via the Internet and any other means deemed appropriate by the applying entity. The applying entity's policies at a minimum should contain appropriate confirmation of the receipt of the abuse report, the process of review of the report, and actions that will be taken if the applying entity confirms the report is legitimate.
SC5.2-1.5 - The applying entity understands that DNS Abuse is Phishing, Malware,

Botnets, Pharming and Spam (when used to deliver other forms of DNS Abuse). The applying entity understands and is prepared to contribute to the mitigation or disruption of DNS Abuse in domains in the TLD zone.
SC5.2-1.6 - The applying entity's abuse response capabilities are resourced appropriately to ensure a timely and adequate investigation and response to reports of DNS Abuse. This includes capabilities to receive and evaluate evidence of DNS Abuse in reports, and to take action to stop or disrupt the DNS Abuse.
SC5.2-1.7 - The applying entity is prepared to conduct periodic scans of its zone to identify if domains are being used to perpetrate DNS Abuse, and to maintain statistical reports of the scans, the findings, and actions taken.

Document Name: OpenAI DNS abuse cert signed, https://newgtldprogram-aps-documents.icann.org/Financial_a-0000efde-9a6a-8000-9c71-011c48011c48_1133667.pdf (pdf)
Document Category: Questionnaire Response
Document Provided: 09 August 2026

Change Requests

Change Requests for this Application have not yet been published. Information will be added when it becomes available. Please check back periodically for updates.

Clarifying Questions

Clarifying Questions for this Application have not yet been published. Information will be added when it becomes available. Please check back periodically for updates.